



## Long-Term Benefit of Postconditioning

<u>Hélène Thibault</u>, Christophe Piot, Patrick Staat, Laurence Bontemps, Catherine Sportouch, Gilles Rioufol, Thien Tri Cung, Eric Bonnefoy, Denis Angoulvant, Jean-François Aupetit, Gérard Finet, Xavier André-Fouët, Jean Christophe Macia, Franck Raczka, Rolland Rossi, Rolland Itti, Gilbert Kirkorian, Geneviève Derumeaux and Michel Ovize

Circulation. 2008;117:1037-1044

Vienna 2013



### The concept of lethal reperfusion injury.

Ovize et al. Cardiovascular Research Dis 2010





## **Ischemic Preconditioning**

... is a process by which a brief ischemic insult confers protection against **subsequent** ischemic episode of similar or greater magnitude

e.g. 4 transient (5 minutes) periods of coronary artery occlusion alternately with 5 minutes of reperfusion

Pagelet al. Anesthesia Service 2011





## **Ischemic Postconditioning**

... is a process by which a brief ischemic insult confers protection against **previous** ischemic episodes of similar or greater magnitude

e.g. 4 transient (5 minutes) periods of coronary artery occlusion alternately with 5 minutes of reperfusion



Bopass et al. Am J Cardiovasc Dis 2012



Christian

Laboratory

Doppler

Ischemia

## Treatment



MEDIZINISCHE UNIVERSITAT WIEN

Trands in Cardiovascular Medicin 2012 Lemaire et al..

Vienna 2013





# Pharmacologic postconditioning



Administration immediately before or during early reperfusion mimicked the beneficial action of IPC

- · Adenosin
- Bradykinin
- · Opioids
- Insulin
- . Growth Factors
- . Statins
- . Volatile anesthetics

Pagelet al. Anesthesia Service 2008



Lemaire et al.. Trands in Cardiovascular Medicin 2012









Ischemic PostC



first discribed in the 1980s by *Buckberg* and associates

myocardial reperfusion conditions reduce the extent of injury resulting from restoration of coronary blood flow

Buckberg et al. J Thorac Cardivasc Surg 1986



Ischemic PostC



*Zhao* et al. 2003

proposed that a series of short (30 sec)
episodes of coronary artery occlusion
intersepersed with 30-sec periods of
reperfusion before final resoration of coronary
artery blood flow possessed protective effects

Zhao et al. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2003









performed during the first 8 minutes of reperfusion. In the postconditioned group, within 1 minute of reflow after the direct stenting, the angioplasty balloon was reinflated 4 times for 1 minute, with low-pressure (4 to 6 atm) inflations, each separated by 1 minute of reflow.<sup>16</sup> After minute 8 of reperfusion, the PCI procedure was completed according to the physician's judgment with respect to



#### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

	Control Group (n=21)	Postconditioned Group (n=17)	Р
Age, y	56±13	56±12	0.97
Male sex, %	78	76	0.64
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	26±5	27±4	0.47
Hypertension, %	35	29	0.54
Smokers, %	65	65	0.63
Dyslipidemia, %	49	52	0.37
Diabetes, %	10	12	0.61
History of coronary artery disease, %	9	0	0.30
Admission blood glucose levels, $\mu {\rm mol/L}$	8.4±2.3	8.8±2.8	0.65
Admission hemodynamics			
Heart rate, bpm	73±13	72±12	0.67
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	133±23	136±20	0.62
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	84±13	83±12	0.87
Admission ST-segment elevation			
Contiguous leads with >1-mm ST shift, n	4.0±1.8	3.9±0.8	0.80
Maximum ST shift, mm	4.2±2.3	4.2±2.0	1.00

Thibault et al. Circulation. 2008

Data are presented as percentage or as mean±SD. Patients' characteristics and treatment at hospital admission and discharge are presented.



LV and coronary angiography			
Single-/multiple-vessel coronary artery disease, %	86/14	82/18	0.56
Culprit artery (left anterior descending), %	52	56	0.47
LV ejection fraction, %	46±5	44±8	0.51
Abnormally contracting segments, %	39±14	40±8	0.60
Ischemia time, min	297±104	283±82	0.35
Stenting of culprit lesion	100	100	1.00
Treatment before angioplasty, %			
Intravenous nitrates	48	50	0.57
Morphine	48	56	0.43
Treatment at time of angioplasty, %			
Heparin	91	100	0.30
Antiaggregants	100	100	1.00
Treatment at discharge, %			
$\beta$ -Blockers	83	94	0.56
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors	88	89	0.60
Statins	94	89	0.42
Antiaggregants	100	100	1.00
Long-acting nitrates	12	11	0.65
Diuretics	33	6	0.07



## Results



### Table 2. Infarct Size and LV Function

	Control Group (n=21)	Postconditioned Group (n=17)	Р
Cardiac enzyme infarct size (at days 1 to 3)			
CK release (AUC $\times 10^4$ )	37.9±19.5	22.7±9.3*	0.01
Tnl release (AUC $ imes 10^4$ )	24.6±20.6	13.0±7.0*	0.02
SPECT infarct size (at 6 months)			
Perfusion defect index (%)	19.5±13.3	11.8±10.3*	0.04
LV function by echocardiography (at 12 months)			
LV ejection fraction, %	49±13	56±8*	0.04
Wall motion score index	1.6±0.4	1.4±0.4*	0.04
Strain rate, s <sup>-1</sup>	0.6±0.4	1.2±0.8*	0.0002

Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD. AUC indicates area under the curve. Infarct size was assessed early (cardiac enzyme release) and at 6 months by SPECT imaging. LV function was evaluated at 12 months by echocardiography. \*P<0.05 vs control.



Christian Doppler Laboratory

Cardiac and Thoracic Diagnosis & Regeneration







Thibault et al. Circulation. 2008





http://www.auntminnie.com/index.aspx?sec=sup\_n&sub= mol&pag=dis&ItemID=71235

Vienna 2013





Reduction of infarct size measured in SPECT as well as a reduction of surrogate prameters (TnI, CK)

Discussion

Improved functional recovery at 1 year after AMI (7% improvement in LV ejection fraction) Better clinical outcome?

Risk of repeated in,- and deflation of the balloon







Questions I think that still have to be answered:

Does this procedure improve patients outcome?

First principle: Do not harm!





## Thank you for your attention

